



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/401,439	09/22/1999 /	USAMA M. FAYYAD	1018.057US1	4688

7590 01/15/2002

WATTS, HOFFMANN, FISHER & HEINKE CO., L.P.A.
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE
SUITE 1750
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

COLON, CATHERINE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2163	

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TR

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/401,439	FAYYAD ET AL
	Examiner C. Michelle Colon	Art Unit 2163

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 1999.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 64 have been examined and are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The examiner has reviewed the patents and publications provided in the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) provided on July 3, 2001.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:
None of the reference numbers found in Figure 1 are referred to in the description for Figure 1 of the Specification. It appears that the reference numbers in Figure 1 simply need a "1" in front of them and they would agree with the reference numbers mentioned in the description. Furthermore, reference number "120" is used in the Specification but neither "120" or "20" can be found in Figure 1.

A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. The claims are replete with grammatical errors such as subject-verb agreement inconsistencies. Below are examples of some of the errors:

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 contains an improper subject-verb agreement, "... set of characteristics comprise..." The claim should read, "... set of characteristics **comprises**."

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 4 contains the word "uses" where it is believed the word "user" is intended. Claim 4 further contains an improper subject-verb agreement, "... set of user attributes constitute..." The claim should read "... set of user attributes **constitutes**..."

Claim 64 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 64 contains an improper subject-verb agreement, "... a subsequent marketing campaign **cycles**..." The claim should be corrected so it is clearly understood as to whether a single campaign cycle is subsequently conducted or multiple campaign cycles are subsequently conducted.

Claim 41 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 41 refers to the method of claim 41 where it is believed a reference to claim 31 is intended. For purposes of examination, claim 41 will be interpreted as referring to claim 31.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1 – 7, 14, 16 – 21, 28 – 30, 58 – 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thearling (U.S. 6,240,411).

As per claim 1, Thearling discloses a method for managing a marketing campaign, comprising:

providing a data mining engine capable of being trained with training data and capable thereafter of performing inference relative to the training data and on future (new) data (col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 28; Figures 1 – 3);

providing a user database defining the observed characteristics of each one of a set of users, the characteristics comprising at least one of: (a) at least one of the user's attributes, (b) at least one of the user's preferences (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35);

training the data mining engine with a set of training data comprising the user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5);

inputting to the data mining engine a predetermined characteristic pertaining to the marketing campaign and, in response thereto, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the users in the data base having the highest correlation to the characteristic (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

As per claim 2, Thearling discloses the method of claim 1 wherein inputting comprises inputting a predetermined set of characteristics pertaining to the marketing campaign (col. 2, lines 12 – 23).

As per claim 3, Thearling discloses the method of claim 2 wherein the predetermined set of characteristics comprises a predetermined set of user attributes (col. 2, lines 12 – 23; col. 3, lines 1 – 5 and lines 58 – 65).

As per claim 4, Thearling discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the predetermined set of user attributes constitutes user attributes likely to pertain to a product to which the marketing campaign is directed (col. 4, lines 25 – 28). The invention as disclosed by Thearling uses a mailing for a five dollar coupon as an example of a possible action for a marketing campaign. The five dollar coupon would likely be directed towards a product.

As per claim 5, Thearling discloses the method of claim 4 further comprising:
determining in the data mining engine a set of prevalent attributes of the subset
of users (col. 1, lines 51 – 65);
defining a target data base of users and determining in the data mining engine a
target subset of users in the target data base statistically correlated to the set of
prevalent attributes (col. 2, lines 12 – 23).

As per claim 6, Thearling discloses the method of claim 5 wherein the target data
base comprises the user data base with which the data mining engine has been trained
(col. 1, lines 60 – 61).

As per claim 7, Thearling discloses the method of claim 5 wherein the target data
base comprises an additional data base not included in the user data base, the
additional data base defining characteristics of a set of new users (col. 2, lines 54 – 57).

As per claim 14, Thearling discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the
predetermined characteristic comprises one of: (a) a user attribute, (b) a user
preference (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35).

As per claim 16, Thearling discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising:
determining, in the data mining engine, a complete set of statistically prevalent
user attributes of the subset of users (col. 2, lines 12 – 20; col. 2, line 54 – col. 3, line
10);

for any member of the subset of users having certain attributes which are
undetermined in the user data base, filling in the certain undetermined attributes with

the corresponding ones of the complete set of statistically prevalent user attributes of the subset of users (col. 4, lines 25 – 35).

As per claim 17, Thearling discloses the method of claim 5 further comprising:
for any member of the target subset of users having certain attributes which are undetermined, filling in the certain undetermined attributes with the corresponding ones of the set of prevalent user attributes of the subset of users (col. 4, lines 25 – 35).

As per claim 18, Thearling discloses the method of claim 1 wherein obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the users in the data base having the highest correlation to the characteristic comprises:

clustering the user data base into different segments of users distinguished by different states of a variable (col. 8, lines 63 – col. 9, line 8);
determining which of the segments has the highest statistical correlation to the characteristic (col. 8, lines 63 – col. 9, line 8).

As per claim 19, Thearling discloses the method of claim 18 wherein clustering comprises:

providing with a visualization tool a tabulation of characteristics of each cluster with the probability of each characteristic in the cluster (col. 10, line 20 – col. 11, line 14; Figure 8);

labeling each cluster with a statistically predominant characteristic thereof in accordance with the tabulation (col. 10, lines 54 – 57). The invention as disclosed by Thearling allows users to group records in the database by models, or values with which fields of the database can be compared or operated. By choosing which model to use,

the user is grouping records in a database based on how certain fields compare with the model value.

As per claim 20, Thearling discloses the method of claim 19 wherein the statistically predominant characteristic of each cluster distinguishes the cluster from the other clusters (col. 2, lines 12 – 20 and lines 54 – 65; col. 6, lines 1 – 10 and lines 62 – 63; col. 11, lines 39 – 50; col. 15, lines 44 – 49). By clustering records based on their model characteristic, or value, the invention as disclosed by Thearling uses queries to build smaller, more specific databases for marketing campaigns targeted to those particular customers.

As per claim 21, Thearling discloses a method of personalizing marketing resources, comprising:

providing a data mining engine capable of being trained with training data and capable thereafter of performing inferencing relative to the training data (col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 28; Figures 1 – 3);

providing a user data base correlating observed characteristics of each one of a set of users with a set of adaptable marketing features, the characteristics comprising at least one of: (a) at least one of the user's attributes, (b) at least one of the user's preferences (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35);

training the data mining engine with a set of training data comprising the user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5);

inputting to the data mining engine a set of user attributes of one of: (a) a particular user, (b) a particular group of users, and, in response thereto, obtaining from

the data mining engine a subset of the adaptable marketing features having the highest correlation to the set of user attributes (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

As per claim 28, Thearling discloses the method of claim 21 wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a direct mailing (col. 4, lines 25 – 35).

As per claim 29, Thearling discloses the method of claim 21 wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a common promotion (col. 3, lines 24 – 31).

As per claim 30, Thearling discloses the method of claim 21 wherein:

the data mining engine clusters the users in the data base into segments of users with similar characteristics (col. 8, lines 63 – col. 9, line 8);

in response to the input, the data mining engine determines which of the segments has characteristics statistically correlated with the set of user attributes (col. 8, lines 63 – col. 9, line 8); and

the subset of marketing features is determined based upon the preferences of the segments statistically correlated to the set of user attributes (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

As per claim 58, Thearling discloses a machine-readable medium having instructions stored thereon for execution by a processor to perform a method comprising:

providing a data mining engine capable of being trained with training data and capable thereafter of performing inference relative to the training data and on future (new) data (col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 28; Figures 1 – 3);

providing a user database defining the observed characteristics of each one of a set of users, the characteristics comprising at least one of: (a) at least one of the user's attributes, (b) at least one of the user's preferences (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35);

training the data mining engine with a set of training data comprising the user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5);

inputting to the data mining engine a predetermined characteristic pertaining to the marketing campaign and, in response thereto, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the users in the data base having the highest correlation to the characteristic (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

As per claim 59, Thearling discloses a machine-readable medium having instructions stored thereon for execution by a processor to perform a method comprising:

providing a data mining engine capable of being trained with training data and capable thereafter of performing inferencing relative to the training data (col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 28; Figures 1 – 3);

providing a user database correlating observed characteristics of each one of a set of users with a set of adaptable marketing features, the characteristics comprising at least one of: (a) at least one of the user's attributes, (b) at least one of the user's preferences (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35);

training the data mining engine with a set of training data comprising the user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5);

inputting to the data mining engine a set of user attributes of one of: (a) a particular user, (b) a particular group of users, and, in response thereto, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the adaptable marketing features having the highest correlation to the set of user attributes (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

As per claim 60, Thearling discloses a machine-readable medium having instructions stored thereon for execution by a processor to perform a method comprising:

providing a data mining engine capable of being trained with training data and capable thereafter of performing inferencing relative to the training data (col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 28; Figures 1 – 3);

providing a user database correlating observed characteristics of each one of a set of users with a set of adaptable marketing features, the characteristics comprising at least one of: (a) user attributes, (b) user preferences (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35);

training the data mining engine with a set of training data comprising the user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5);

inputting to the data mining engine a set of user attributes of the particular user, in response thereto, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the adaptable marketing features having the highest correlation to the set of user attributes (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

As per claim 61, Thearling discloses a method for managing a marketing campaign, comprising:

providing a data mining engine capable of being trained with training data and capable thereafter of performing inferencing relative to the training data (col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 28; Figures 1 – 3);

providing a user database correlating observed characteristics of each one of a set of users with a set of adaptable marketing features, the characteristics comprising at least one of: (a) at least one of the user's attributes, (b) at least one of the user's preferences (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35);

training the data mining engine with a set of training data comprising the user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5);

first inputting to the data mining engine a predetermined characteristic pertaining to the marketing campaign and, in response thereto, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the users in the data base having the highest correlation to the characteristic (col. 2, lines 12 – 20; col. 3, lines 7 – 31); and

second inputting to the data mining engine a set of user attributes of the subset of the users, and in response thereto, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the adaptable marketing features having the highest correlation to the set of user attributes (col. 3, line 58 – col. 4, line 35).

As per claim 62, Thearling discloses the method of claim 61 further comprising:
conducting a marketing campaign cycle directed toward the subset of users and comprising the subset of marketing features (col. 3, lines 11 – 31).

7. Claims 51 – 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walter et al. (U.S. 6,334,110).

As per claim 51, Walter et al. disclose a marketing management system, comprising:

a data warehouse storing a user data base correlating individual users with observed characteristics comprising at least one of user attributes and user preferences and with observed responses to a set of marketing features (col. 4, lines 14 – 30; Figure 2);

a profiler comprising a data mining engine constructed with training data comprising the user data base (col. 2, lines 25 – 35; col. 4, lines 32 – 40; Figure 5);

a personalization system for tagging individual users with labels from which user characteristics may be inferred (col. 6, lines 13 – 46; Figure 6); and

a personalized application component responsive to the profiler and to the personalization system and which correlates a user with a subset of the marketing features based upon the user's characteristics (col. 6, lines 13 – 65; Figures 7 and 8).

As per claim 52, Walter et al. disclose the marketing management system of claim 51 wherein the subset of marketing features corresponds to a maximum probability of a favorable response by the user in accordance with the training data (Figures 4 – 6).

As per claim 53, Walter et al. disclose the marketing management system of claim 51 wherein the personalized application component comprises:

a real-time user interface with the user (Figures 4 – 11);

a feedback component for capturing observed responses of the user through the interface and feeding them to the data warehouse for processing by the data mining engine of the profiler (col. 4, lines 14 – 18; Figures 4 – 6).

As per claim 54, Walter et al. disclose a marketing management system, comprising:

a data warehouse storing a user data base correlating individual users with observed characteristics comprising at least one of user attributes and user preferences (col. 4, lines 14 – 30; Figure 2);

a profiler comprising a data mining engine constructed with training data comprising the user data base (col. 2, lines 25 – 35; col. 4, lines 32 – 40; Figure 5);

a personalization system for tagging individual users with labels from which user characteristics may be inferred (col. 6, lines 13 – 46; Figure 6); and

a marketing management console responsive to the profiler and to the personalization system and which correlates a set of user characteristics identified for a marketing campaign with a subset of the users in the user data base (col. 6, lines 13 – 65; Figures 7 and 8).

As per claim 55, Walter et al. disclose the marketing management system of claim 51 wherein the subset of users corresponds to a maximum probability of favorable response to a marketing campaign directed toward the set of user characteristics in accordance with the training data (Figures 4 – 6).

As per claim 56, Walter et al. disclose the marketing management system of claim 55 wherein the set of user characteristics were selected based upon a product which is to be marketed in the marketing campaign (col. 5, lines 54 – 64; Figure 4).

As per claim 57, Walter et al. disclose the marketing management system of claim 56 further comprising:

a feedback component for capturing observed responses to the marketing campaign and feeding them to the data warehouse for processing by the data mining engine of the profiler (col. 4, lines 14 – 18; Figures 4 – 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 8 – 13, 15, 22 – 27, 31 – 50, 63, 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thearling (U.S. 6,240,411) as applied to claims 1 – 7, 14, 16 – 21, 28 – 30, 58 – 62 above, and further in view of Walter et al. (U.S. 6,334,110).

As per claim 8, Thearling discloses the method of claim 5 further comprising: conducting a marketing campaign cycle directed at the target subset of users (col. 3, lines 11 – 26).

Thearling does not expressly disclose observing responses of the target subset of users to the marketing campaign cycle.

Walter et al. disclose observing responses of the target subset of users to the marketing campaign cycle (col. 4, lines 15 – 18).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to observe responses of the target subset of users to the marketing campaign cycle because observing customer responses allows businesses to measure the effectiveness of their marketing campaigns and in turn, make any necessary alterations to the campaigns to improve their effectiveness (col. 4, lines 29 – 30; col. 7, lines 15 – 19).

As per claim 9, Thearling and Walter et al. disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 8 further comprising:

forming a focused group of the target subset of users whose observed response was a particular type of response;

determining, in the data mining engine, a group of prevalent characteristics of the focused group of users;

defining a data base to be mined and determining, in the data mining engine, a new set of users in the data base to be mined whose characteristics are statistically correlated with the group of prevalent characteristics.

Walter et al. disclose the method of claim 8 further comprising:

forming a focused group of the target subset of users whose observed response was a particular type of response (col. 4, lines 36 – 40; Figure 3);

determining, in the data mining engine, a group of prevalent characteristics of the focused group of users (col. 5, line 65 – col. 6, line 11; Figure 5);

defining a data base to be mined and determining, in the data mining engine, a new set of users in the data base to be mined whose characteristics are statistically correlated with the group of prevalent characteristics (col. 6, lines 13 – 15; Figures 6 and 7).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a focused group, determine a group of prevalent characteristics of the focused group, and define and determine a new set of users to be mined whose characteristics correlate with the group of prevalent characteristics because by performing the above steps, businesses can perform very accurate and targeted marketing (specific advertisements to specific individuals) which, in turn, would help to measure and improve the effectiveness of their marketing campaigns (col. 7, lines 21 – 32).

As per claim 10, Thearling discloses the method of claim 9 as applied above and further wherein the data base to be mined comprises the user data base with which the data mining engine was trained (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, lines 54 – 57; col. 3, lines 1 – 10). The invention as disclosed by Thearling utilizes and manipulates a user database in order to create a new database that is a subset (i.e., contains certain tables) of the original database based on certain criteria. Therefore, while the invention as disclosed by Thearling may utilize separate databases throughout the data mining

process, all of those databases contain data (though more specific) from the same user database.

As per claim 11, Thearling discloses the method of claim 9 as applied above and further wherein the data base to be mined comprises the target data base of users (col. 2, line 54 – col. 3, line 10).

As per claim 12, Thearling discloses the method of claim 9 as applied above and further wherein the data base to be mined comprises a new data base not included in either the user data base nor in the target user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, lines 54 – 57; col. 3, lines 1 – 10). The invention as disclosed by Thearling utilizes and manipulates a user database in order to create a new database that is a subset (i.e., contains certain tables) of the original database based on certain criteria. Therefore, while the invention as disclosed by Thearling may utilize separate databases throughout the data mining process, all of those databases contain data (though more specific) from the same user database.

As per claim 13, Thearling discloses the method of claim 9 as applied above. Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 9 further comprising:
directing a subsequent marketing campaign cycle to the new set of users.
Walter et al. disclose the method of claim 9 further comprising:
directing a subsequent marketing campaign cycle to the new set of users (col. 6, line 66 – col. 7, line 9; Figures 8 – 10).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to direct a subsequent marketing campaign cycle to the new set

of users because such action is a natural step in improving and refining a marketing campaign to achieve successful and profitable advertising. After a business measures the effectiveness of a marketing campaign, it makes the proper alterations such as refining the customer group or the type of advertisement, etc. and performs the campaign again (col. 7, lines 21 – 32).

As per claim 15, Thearling discloses the method of claim 14 as applied above. Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 14 wherein the user preference corresponds to a prior purchase of a product which is a subject of the marketing campaign.

Walter et al. disclose the method of claim 14 wherein the user preference corresponds to a prior purchase of a product which is a subject of the marketing campaign (col. 4, line 44 – col. 5, line 25).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a user preference correspond to a prior purchase of a product which is a subject of a marketing campaign because by monitoring customer behavior (such as purchase patterns and products purchased), businesses can perform effective and profitable targeted marketing campaigns (col. 1, lines 39 – 43).

As per claims 22 – 27, Thearling discloses the method of claim 21 as applied above. Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 21 further comprising:

as per claim 22, constructing a presentation to be directed to the particular user or group of users comprising marketing features contained within the subset of marketing features;

as per claim 23, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different advertisements;

as per claim 24, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different products which can be marketed at a common;

as per claim 25, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a storefront;

as per claim 26, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a catalog;

as per claim 27, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a shopping experience.

Walter et al. disclose constructing a presentation to be directed to the particular user or group of users comprising marketing features contained within the subset of marketing features (col. 6, lines 47 – 58);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different advertisements (col. 6, line 59 – col. 7, line 4; Figures 7 and 8);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different products which can be marketed at a common site (col. 4, Table 1);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a storefront (col. 4, lines 44 – 53). The invention as disclosed by Walter et al. mentions a

customer being able to access a store through three channels: the Web site, the physical store, and the call center;

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a catalog (col. 3, lines 32 – 36; col. 4, lines 1 – 11);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a shopping experience (col. 3 line 23 – col. 4, line 56; col. 5, lines 28 – 49; Table 1).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to construct a presentation to be directed to the particular user or group of users comprising marketing features contained within the subset of marketing features and further have the marketing features comprise the limitations recited in claims 23 – 27 because by providing a user or group of users with different presentations based on their marketing features through different marketing outlets a business can tailor and test different targeted marketing campaigns which in turn, can afford successful and profitable sales and advertising for the business (col. 7, lines 10 – 24; Figure 10 and 11).

As per claim 31, Thearling discloses the method of controlling the marketing resources of a site

providing a data mining engine capable of being trained with training data and capable thereafter of performing inferencing relative to the training data (col. 1, line 51 – col. 2, line 28; Figures 1 – 3);

providing a user data base correlating observed characteristics of each one of a set of users with a set of adaptable marketing features, the characteristics comprising at

least one of: (a) user attributes, (b) user preferences (col. 3, lines 1 – 10; col. 5, lines 24 – 35);

training the data mining engine with a set of training data comprising the user data base (col. 1, lines 51 – 65; col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 5);

inputting to the data mining engine a set of user attributes of the particular user and, in response thereto, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the adaptable marketing features having the highest correlation to the set of user attributes (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

Thearling does not expressly disclose the method having a real-time user interface during a visit to the site by a particular user.

Walter et al. disclose the method of controlling the marketing resources of a site having a real-time user interface during a visit to the site by a particular user (col. 7, lines 5 – 14; Figures 7 – 9).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the method of controlling the marketing resources of a site have a real-time user interface during a visit to the site by a particular user because the ability to temporally analyze customer interactions provides businesses with specific marketing information about their customers with which the businesses can perform effective marketing campaigns (col. 1, lines 34 – 43).

As per claims 32 – 37, Thearling does not expressly disclose, the method of claim 31 further comprising:

as per claim 32, constructing a presentation to be directed to the particular user comprising marketing features contained within the subset of marketing features;

as per claim 33, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different advertisements;

as per claim 34, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different products which can be marketed at a common site;

as per claim 35, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a storefront;

as per claim 36, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a catalog;

as per claim 37, wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a shopping experience.

Walter et al. disclose constructing a presentation to be directed to the particular user comprising marketing features contained within the subset of marketing features (col. 6, lines 47 – 58);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different advertisements (col. 6, line 59 – col. 7, line 4; Figures 7 and 8);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different products which can be marketed at a common site (col. 4, Table 1);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a storefront (col. 4, lines 44 – 53). The invention as disclosed by Walter et al. mentions a

customer being able to access a store through three channels: the Web site, the physical store, and the call center;

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a catalog (col. 3, lines 32 – 36; col. 4, lines 1 – 11);

wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a shopping experience (col. 3 line 23 – col. 4, line 56; col. 5, lines 28 – 49; Table 1).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to construct a presentation to be directed to the particular user or group of users comprising marketing features contained within the subset of marketing features and further have the marketing features comprise the limitations recited in claims 33 – 37 because by providing a user or group of users with different presentations based on their marketing features through different marketing outlets a business can tailor and test different targeted marketing campaigns which in turn, can afford successful and profitable sales and advertising (col. 7, lines 10 – 24; Figure 10 and 11).

As per claim 38, Thearling discloses the method of claim 31 as applied above and further wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a direct mailing (col. 4, lines 25 – 35).

As per claim 39, Thearling discloses the method of claim 31 as applied above and further wherein the marketing features comprise a set of different potential features of a promotion (col. 3, lines 24 – 31).

As per claim 40, Thearling discloses the method of claim 31 as applied above and further wherein:

the data mining engine clusters the users in the data base into segments of users with similar characteristics (col. 8, lines 63 – col. 9, line 8);

in response to the input, the data mining engine determines which of the segments has characteristics statistically correlated with the set of user attributes (col. 8, lines 63 – col. 9, line 8); and

the subset of marketing features is determined based upon the preferences of the segments statistically correlated to the set of user attributes (col. 3, lines 7 – 31).

As per claim 41, Thearling discloses the method of claim 31 as applied above and further wherein inputting is preceded by determining the attributes of the particular user (col. 1, lines 38 – 50).

As per claim 42, Thearling discloses the method of claim 41 as applied above and further wherein the particular user is a member of the user data base, and wherein determining comprises:

classifying the users in the user data base (col. 6, lines 1 – 10);

inputting the identity of the particular user to the inferencing engine (col. 5, lines 32 – 35).

As per claim 43, Thearling discloses the method of claim 41 as applied above and further wherein the particular user is a member of the user data base, and wherein determining comprises:

clustering the users in the user data base into different segments of users having similar characteristics relative to responses to different ones of the marketing features (col. 8, lines 61 – 67);

inputting the identity of the particular user to the inferencing engine (col. 1, lines 52 – 58).

As per claim 44, Thearling discloses the method of claim 41 as applied above and further wherein determining comprises:

clustering the users in the user data base into different segments of users having similar characteristics relative to responses to different ones of the marketing features (col. 8, lines 61 – 67).

Thearling does not expressly disclose observing characteristics of the particular user through the real-time user interface of the site;

assigning the particular user to at least one of the segments based upon the characteristics observed through the interface.

Walter et al. disclose observing characteristics of the particular user through the real-time user interface of the site (col. 7, lines 5 – 14; Figures 7 – 9);

assigning the particular user to at least one of the segments based upon the characteristics observed through the interface (Figures 3 – 6).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to observe characteristics of the particular user through the real-time user interface of the site and assign the particular user to at least one of the segments based upon the characteristics observed through the interface because the

ability to temporally analyze customer interactions provides business with specific and timely marketing information about their customers with which the businesses can perform effective marketing campaigns (col. 1, lines 34 – 43).

As per claim 45, Thearling discloses the method of claim 44 as applied above and further wherein some characteristics of the particular user are not observed through the interface, but have been previously determined by clustering for the segment to which the particular user is assigned, whereby the characteristics not observed through the interface are filled in upon assignment of the particular user to a segment (col. 6, lines 1 – 10; col. 8, lines 63 – 67).

As per claim 46, Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 32 further comprising:

observing through the interface responses of the user to the presentation.

Walter et al. disclose the method of claim 32 further comprising:

observing through the interface responses of the user to the presentation (col. 7, lines 5 – 14; Figures 7 – 9).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to observe through the interface responses of the user to the presentation because doing so provides an accurate and timely manner through which businesses can analyze customer interactions and measure the effectiveness of marketing campaigns (col. 7, lines 22 – 32; Figure 11).

As per claim 47, Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 46 further comprising:

comparing a distribution of the observed responses across the marketing features of the presentation to corresponding distributions in different ones of the segments so as to detect any errors in the assignment of the particular user to a segment;

correcting the assignment of the user to a different segment in response to the detection of an.

Walter et al. disclose comparing a distribution of the observed responses across the marketing features of the presentation to corresponding distributions in different ones of the segments so as to detect any errors in the assignment of the particular user to a segment (col. 7, lines 5 – 36; Figures 9 and 10);

correcting the assignment of the user to a different segment in response to the detection of an error (col. 2, lines 54 – 60; col. 4, lines 29 – 30; col. 7, lines 5 – 9; Figure 9).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to compare observed responses across the marketing features of the presentation and correct the assignment of the user to a different segment in response to the detection of an error because doing so improves the effectiveness of a marketing campaign and therefore increases the opportunity for a business to make a sale (col. 7, lines 14 – 23).

As per claim 48, Thearling discloses the method of claim 47 as applied above and further comprising: based upon the corrected assignment of the user to a new segment, obtaining from the data mining engine a subset of the adaptable marketing features having the highest correlation to the set of user attributes (col. 8, lines 63 – col. 9, line 8).

As per claim 49, Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 48 further comprising modifying the presentation based upon the latest subset of marketing features obtained from the data mining engine, whereby to increase the likelihood of a favorable response by the user.

Walter et al. disclose the method of claim 48 further comprising modifying the presentation based upon the latest subset of marketing features obtained from the data mining engine, whereby to increase the likelihood of a favorable response by the user (col. 4, lines 14 – 18 and lines 29 – 30; col. 7, lines 5 – 36; Figures 9 and 10). Figure 9 specifically shows an interface allowing a business to revise a marketing campaign, therefore depending on the results of a “trial run,” the invention as disclosed by Walter et al. enables a business to revise its marketing campaign before roll out.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to compare observed responses across the marketing features of the presentation and correct the assignment of the user to a different segment in response to the detection of an error because doing so refines and improves the effectiveness of a marketing campaign and therefore increases the opportunity for a business to make a sale (col. 7, lines 14 – 23).

Art Unit: 2163

As per claim 50, Thearling discloses the method of claim 49 as applied above and further comprising adding the user and an identification of the user's assigned segment to the user data base (col. 5, lines 29 – 36; col. 8, line 63 – col. 9, line 8).

As per claim 63, Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 62 further comprising:

monitoring observed responses to the marketing campaign cycle and updating the user data base based upon the observed responses;

repeating the first and second inputting to obtain an updated subset of users and an updated subset of marketing features.

Walter et al. disclose the method of claim 62 further comprising:

monitoring observed responses to the marketing campaign cycle and updating the user data base based upon the observed responses (col. 4, lines 14 – 30; Figures 2 and 9);

repeating the first and second inputting to obtain an updated subset of users and an updated subset of marketing features (col. 2, lines 54 – 60; col. 7, lines 5 – 32).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to observe responses to the marketing campaign and repeat the first and second inputting to update the subset of users and marketing features because doing so refines and improves the effectiveness of the marketing campaign and therefore increases the opportunity for a business to make a sale (col. 7, lines 14 – 23; Figures 10 and 11).

As per claim 64, Thearling does not expressly disclose the method of claim 63 further comprising:

conducting a subsequent marketing campaign cycles based upon the updated subsets of users and marketing features.

Walter et al. disclose the method of claim 63 further comprising: conducting a subsequent marketing campaign cycles based upon the updated subsets of users and marketing features (col. 4, lines 14 – 30; Figure 2 and 9).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to conduct subsequent marketing campaign cycles based upon the updated subsets of users and marketing features because doing so refines and makes more effective the marketing campaign which, in turn, affords a business more successful and profitable sales and advertising (col. 7, lines 10 – 24; Figure 10 and 11).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Cannon (U.S. 6,286,005) discusses a method and apparatus for analyzing and optimizing data for advertising;
- Chou et al. (U.S. 6,061,658) discuss customer selection using customer and market reference data;
- Greening et al. (U.S. 2001/0013009) discuss a marketing system and method that predicts the interest of a user in specific items;
- Gerace (U.S. 5,991,735) discusses a computer program apparatus for targeting of an appropriate audience based on behavioral profiles of users.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Michelle Colon whose telephone number is 703-605-4251. The examiner can normally be reached Monday – Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz, can be reached at 703-305-9643.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

703-746-7238 [After Final Communication]

703-746-7239 [Official Communications]

703-746-7240 [For status inquiries, draft communication]

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CMC
January 9, 2002


JOSEPH THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A.U. 2166